arXiv:1612.05802v1 [math.FA] 17 Dec 2016

POINTWISE ERGODIC THEOREMS IN SYMMETRIC SPACES OF MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

VLADIMIR CHILIN, DOĞAN ÇÖMEZ, SEMYON LITVINOV

Abstract. For a Dunford-Schwartz operator in a fully symmetric space of measurable functions of an arbitrary measure space, we prove pointwise convergence of the conventional and weighted ergodic averages.

1. Introduction

The celebrated Dunford-Schwartz and Wiener-Wintner-type ergodic theorems are two of the major themes of ergodic theory. Due to their fundamental roles, these theorems have been revisited ever since their first appearance. For instance, A. Garcia [10] gave an elegant self-contained proof of Dunford-Schwartz Theorem for $L^1 - L^\infty-$contractions, and I. Assani [1, 2] extended Bourgain's Return Times theorem to $\sigma-$finite setting. In this article, among other results, we extend Dunford-Schwartz and Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems to fully symmetric spaces of measurable functions.

We begin by showing, in Section 3, that if one works in a space of real valued measurable functions, then the class of absolute contractions coincides with the class of Dunford-Schwartz operators, hence one can assume without loss of generality that the linear operator in question contracts $L^\infty-$norm. This helps us derive, in Section 4, Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem in any fully symmetric space of functions E in an infinite measure space Ω such that the characteristic function $\chi_\Omega \notin E$. Note that, as it is shown in Section 2 of the article, the class of such spaces E is significantly wider than the class of $L^p - spaces$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Section 5 is devoted to extension of weighted Dunford-Schwartz-type ergodic theorems to fully symmetric spaces E with $\chi_\Omega \notin E$.

In the last, Section 6, of the article we utilize Return Times theorem for $\sigma-$finite measure to show that Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem holds in any fully symmetric space E such that $\chi_\Omega \notin E$ and with the set sequences $\{\lambda^k\}$, $\lambda \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| = 1\}$, extended to the set all bounded Besicovitch sequences.

2. Preliminaries

Let (Ω, μ) be a complete measure space. Denote by $\mathcal{L}^0$ ($\mathcal{L}^0_h$) the linear space of equivalence classes of almost everywhere (a.e.) finite complex (respectively, real) valued measurable functions on Ω. Let χ_E be the characteristic function of a set $E \subset \Omega$. Denote $\mathbf{1} = \chi_\Omega$. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $\mathcal{L}^p \subset \mathcal{L}^0$ be the L^p-space equipped with the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Date: December 15, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A35(primary), 37A30(secondary).

Key words and phrases. infinite measure, Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem, fully symmetric Banach space, Return Times theorem, bounded Besicovitch sequence.

Assume that (Ω,μ) is σ-finite. If $f\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$, then a *non-increasing rearrangement* of f is defined as

$$\mu_t(f)=\inf\{\lambda>0:\ \mu\{|f|>\lambda\}\le t\},\quad t>0$$

(see [12, Ch.II, §2]).

A Banach space $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)\subset\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is called *symmetric (fully symmetric)* if

$$f\in E,\ g\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty,\ \mu_t(g)\le\mu_t(f)\ \ \forall\ t>0$$

(respectively,

$$f\in E,\ g\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty,\ \int_0^s\mu_t(g)dt\le\int_0^s\mu_t(f)dt\ \ \forall\ s>0\ (\text{writing}\ \ g\prec\prec f))$$

implies that $g\in E$ and $\|g\|_E\le\|f\|_E$.

Simple examples of fully symmetric spaces are $\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty}=\max\{\|f\|_1,\|f\|_\infty\}$$

and $\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty}=\inf\left\{\|g\|_1+\|h\|_\infty:\ f=g+h,\ g\in\mathcal{L}^1,\ h\in\mathcal{L}^\infty\right\}=\int_0^1\mu_t(f)dt$$

(see [12, Ch. II, §4]).

Denote by E_+ the set all nonnegative functions from a symmetric space E. A symmetric space $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is said to possess *Fatou property* if conditions

$$\{f_n\}\subset E_+,\quad f_n\le f_{n+1}\ \forall\ n,\quad\sup_n\|f_n\|_E<\infty$$

imply that there exists $f=\sup\limits_n f_n\in E_+$ and $\|f\|_E=\sup\limits_n\|f_n\|_E$.

It is know that if $E=E^{\times\times}$, where

$$E^\times=\{g\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty:\ \|g\|_{E^\times}=\sup_{\|f\|_E\le1}\int_\Omega|f\cdot g|d\mu<\infty\}$$

is the *Köthe dual space* of E, then symmetric space $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ has Fatou property (see, for example, [17, Vol.II, Ch.I, §1b]). Since $(\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty)^{\times\times}=\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $(\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty)^{\times\times}=\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty$ [5, Ch.2, §6, Theorem 6.4], the spaces $(\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty})$ and $(\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty})$ possess Fatou property.

A sequence $\{f_n\}\subset\mathcal{L}^0$ is said to converge to $f\in\mathcal{L}^0$ in *measure topology* t_μ if $f_n\chi_E\to f\chi_E$ in measure μ whenever $\mu(E)<\infty$. It is clear that $f_n\to f$ a.e. implies $f_n\to f$ in t_μ. Note that in the case $\sigma-$finite measure μ the algebra $(\mathcal{L}^0,t_\mu)$ is a complete metrizable topological algebra.

In what follows we rely on the fact that any symmetric space with Fatou property is fully symmetric and its unit ball is closed in t_μ [11, Ch.IV, §3, Lemma 5].

Define

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu=\{f\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty:\ \mu_t(f)\to0\ \ \text{as}\ \ t\to\infty\}.$$

By [12, Ch.II, §4, Lemma 4.4], $(\mathcal{R}_\mu,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty})$ is a symmetric space. In addition, $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ is the closure of $\mathcal{L}^1\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ (see [12, Ch.II, §3, Section 1]). Furthermore, it follows from definitions of $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty}$ that if

$$f\in\mathcal{R}_\mu,\ g\in L^1+L^\infty\ \ \text{and}\ \ g\prec\prec f,$$

then $g\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$ and $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty}\le\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{R}_\mu,\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty})$ is a fully symmetric space. It is clear that if $\mu(\Omega)<\infty$, then $\mathcal{R}_\mu=\mathcal{L}^1$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\mu(\Omega)=\infty$, then a symmetric space $E\subset\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is contained in $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ if and only if $\mathbf{1}\notin E$.*

Proof. As $\mu(\Omega)=\infty$, we have $\mu_t(\mathbf{1})=1$ for all $t>0$, hence $\mathbf{1}\notin\mathcal{R}_\tau$. Therefore E is not contained in $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ whenever $\mathbf{1}\in E$.

Let $\mathbf{1}\notin E$. If $f\in E$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\mu_t(f)=\alpha>0$, then

$$\mu_t(\mathbf{1})\equiv 1\le\frac{1}{\alpha}\mu_t(f),$$

implying $\mathbf{1}\in E$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathbf{1}\notin E$ entails $E\subset\mathcal{R}_\mu$. □

To outline the scope of applications of what follows, we assume that $\mu(\Omega)=\infty$ and present some examples of fully symmetric spaces E with $\mathbf{1}\notin E$.

1. Let Φ be an *Orlicz function*, that is, $\Phi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is convex, continuous at 0 and such that $\Phi(0)=0$ and $\Phi(u)>0$ if $u\neq 0$. Let

$$L^\Phi=L^\Phi(\Omega,\mu)=\left\{f\in\mathcal{L}^0(\Omega,\mu):\ \int_\Omega\left(\Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{a}\right)\right)d\mu<\infty\ \text{ for some }\ a>0\right\}$$

be the corresponding *Orlicz space*, and let

$$\|f\|_\Phi=\inf\left\{a>0:\int_\Omega\left(\Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{a}\right)\right)d\mu\le 1\right\}$$

be the *Luxemburg norm* in L^Φ. It is well-known that $(L^\Phi,\|\cdot\|_\Phi)$ is a fully symmetric space with Fatou property. Since $\int_\Omega\left(\Phi\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\right)d\mu=\infty$ for all $a>0$, hence $\mathbf{1}\notin L^\Phi$.

2. A symmetric space $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is said to have *order continuous norm* if

$$\|f_n\|_E\downarrow 0\ \text{ whenever }\ f_n\in E_+\ \text{ and }\ f_n\downarrow 0.$$

If E is a symmetric space with order continuous norm, then $\mu\{|f|>\lambda\}<\infty$ for all $f\in E$ and $\lambda>0$, so $E\subset\mathcal{R}_\mu$; in particular, $\mathbf{1}\notin E$.

3. Let φ be an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\varphi(t)>0$ for some $t>0$, and let

$$\Lambda_\varphi=\Lambda_\varphi(\Omega,\mu)=\left\{f\in\mathcal{L}^0(\Omega,\mu):\ \|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi}=\int_0^\infty\mu_t(f)d\varphi(t)<\infty\right\},$$

the corresponding *Lorentz space*. It is well-known that Λ_φ is a fully symmetric space with Fatou property; in addition, if $\varphi(\infty)=\infty$, then $\mathbf{1}\notin\Lambda_\varphi$.

4. A Banach lattice $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is called *q-concave*, $1\le q<\infty$, if there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n\|x_i\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le M\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^n|x_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_E$$

for every finite set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n\subset E$. If a Banach lattice $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is a q-concave for some $1\le q<\infty$, then there is no a sublattice of E isomorphic to l^∞, and the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is order continuous [15, Corollary 2.4.3]. Therefore, if a fully symmetric function space $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is q-concave, then $\mathbf{1}\notin E$.

5. Let $(E(0,\infty),\|\cdot\|_{E(0,\infty)})$ be a fully symmetric space. If $s>0$, let the bounded linear operator D_s in $E(0,\infty)$ be given by $D_s(f)(t)=f(t/s),\ t>0$. The *Boyd index* q_E is defined as

$$q_E=\lim_{s\to+0}\frac{\log s}{\log\|D_s\|}.$$

It is known that $1 \leq q_E \leq \infty$ [17, Vol.II, Ch.II, §2b, Proposition 2.b.2]. Since $\|D_s\| \leq \max\{1, s\}$ [17, Vol.II, Ch.II, §2b], $\mathbf{1} \in E(0,\infty)$ would imply $D_s(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\|D_s\| = 1$ for all $s \in (0,1)$, hence $q_E = \infty$. Thus, if $q_E < \infty$, we have $\mathbf{1} \notin E(0,\infty)$.

The next property of the fully symmetric space $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ is crucial.

Proposition 2.2. *For every $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $g_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $h_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ such that $f = g_\epsilon + h_\epsilon$ and $\|h_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. If

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{|f| > \epsilon\}, \quad g_\epsilon = f \cdot \chi_{\Omega_\epsilon}, \quad h_\epsilon = f \cdot \chi_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon},$$

then $\|h_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. Besides, as $f \in \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$, we have

$$f = g_\epsilon + h_\epsilon = g + h$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{L}^1$, $h \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. Then, since $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$, we have $\mu(\Omega_\epsilon) < \infty$, which implies that

$$g_\epsilon = g \cdot \chi_{\Omega_\epsilon} + (h - h_\epsilon) \cdot \chi_{\Omega_\epsilon} \in \mathcal{L}^1.$$

□

3. Dunford-Schwartz operators and absolute contractions

Definition 3.1. A linear operator $T : \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty \to \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ is called a *Dunford-Schwartz operator* if

$$\|T(f)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \ \ \forall \ \ f \in L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \ \ \forall\, f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty.$$

In what follows, we will write $T \in DS$ ($T \in DS^+$) to indicate that T is a Dunford-Schwartz operator (respectively, a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator). It is clear that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty \to L^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty} \leq 1$$

for all $T \in DS$ and, in addition, $Tf \prec\prec f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ [12, Ch.II, §3, Sec.4]. Therefore $T(E) \subset E$ for every fully symmetric space E and

$$\|T\|_{E \to E} \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

(see [12, Ch.II, §4, Sec.2]). In particular, $T(\mathcal{R}_\mu) \subset \mathcal{R}_\mu$, and the restriction of T on $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ is a linear contraction (also denoted by T).

We say that a linear operator $T : \mathcal{L}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^1$ is an *absolute contraction* and write $T \in AC$ if

$$\|T(f)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \ \ \forall\ f \in \mathcal{L}^1 \ \text{ and } \ \|T(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \ \ \forall\ f \in \mathcal{L}^1 \cap \mathcal{L}^\infty.$$

If $T \in AC$ is positive, we will write $T \in AC^+$.

Definition 3.2. A complete measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is called *semifinite* if every subset of Ω of non-zero measure admits a subset of finite non-zero measure. A semifinite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is said to have the *direct sum property* if the Boolean algebra $(\mathcal{A}/\sim)$ of equivalence classes of measurable sets is complete, that is, every subset of $(\mathcal{A}/\sim)$ has a least upper bound.

Note that every $\sigma-$finite measure space has the direct sum property. A detailed account on measures with direct sum property is found in [7]; see also [14].

Absolute contractions (or $\mathcal{L}^1 - \mathcal{L}^\infty-$contractions) were considered in [10] and also in [13]. It is clear that if $T \in DS$, then $T|\mathcal{L}^1 \in AC$. It turns out that if $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0_h$ and (Ω, μ) has the direct sum property, then $T \in AC$ can be uniquely extended to a $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous DS operator:

Theorem 3.1. *Let (Ω,μ) have the direct sum property and let $\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1\subset\mathcal{L}^0_h$. Then for any $T\in AC$ there exists a unique $S\in DS$ such that $S|\mathcal{L}^1=T$ and $S|\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous.*

Remark 3.1. In what follows, we will only need Theorem 3.1 in the case of $\sigma-$finite measure. However, since this theorem, and Lemma 3.1 below, are interesting results by themselves, we prove them in more general settings, namely, for a measure with the direct sum property and for a semifinite measure, respectively.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we will need Lemma 3.1 below. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{F}=\{F\subset\Omega:\ 0<\mu(F)<\infty\},$$

and let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be the directed set consisting of the elements of $\mathcal{F}$, ordered by inclusion.

Lemma 3.1. *If (Ω,μ) is semifinite, then $\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g\to\int g$ for all $g\in\mathcal{L}^1$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement for $g\geq 0$. Then $\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g\leq\int g$ for every α and, since $\left\{\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g\right\}$ is an increasing net of real numbers, we have

$$\lim_\alpha\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g=\sup_\alpha\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g=s<\infty.$$

There exists a sequence $\{f_n\}\subset\mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\chi_{F_n}g=s.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $F_n\subset F_{n+1}$ for all $n\geq 1$. Let $E=\cup F_n$ and assume that $g\chi_{\Omega\setminus E}\neq 0$. Then there is $F\in\mathcal{F}$ such that $F\subset\Omega\setminus E$ and $g\chi_F>0$, and we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\chi_{F_n\cup F}g=\int\chi_{E\cup F}g>\int\chi_Eg=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\chi_{F_n}g=s.$$

This entails that $\sup_\alpha\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g>s$, a contradiction, so $g\chi_{\Omega\setminus E}=0$. Consequently,

$$s=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\chi_{F_n}g=\int\chi_Eg=\int g,$$

hence $\int\chi_{F_\alpha}g\to\int g$. □

Let us first establish Theorem 3.1 for positive operators.

Theorem 3.2. *If (Ω,μ), $\mathcal{L}^\infty$, and $\mathcal{L}^1$ are as in Theorem 3.1, then, given $T\in AC^+$, there exists a unique $S\in DS^+$ such that $S|\mathcal{L}^1=T$ and $S|\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous.*

Proof. Since (Ω,μ) has the direct sum property, Radon-Nikodym theorem is valid [7, §10, Sec.8], hence $(\mathcal{L}^1)^*=\mathcal{L}^\infty$, and the adjoint operator $T^*:\mathcal{L}^\infty\to\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is defined. Besides, $\|T^*\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty\to\mathcal{L}^\infty}=\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^1\to\mathcal{L}^1}\leq 1$ and T^* is also $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous. Moreover,

$$\int T^*(f)g=\int fT(g)$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{L}^\infty$, $g\in\mathcal{L}^1$. In particular, it follows that the linear operator T^* is positive.

Now, if $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+ \cap \mathcal{L}^1$, then, with χ_{F_α} as in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$0 \le \int T^*(f)\chi_{F_\alpha} = \int fT(\chi_{F_\alpha}) \le \int f,$$

for all α, hence $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+ \cap \mathcal{L}^1$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^*(f)\|_1 &= \sup\left\{\left|\int T^*(f)g\right| :\ g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty = (\mathcal{L}^1)^*,\ \|g\|_\infty \le 1\right\}\\ &\le \sup\left\{\int T^*(f)|g| :\ g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty,\ \|g\|_\infty \le 1\right\}\\ &= \sup\left\{\int T^*(f)g :\ g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+,\ \|g\|_\infty \le 1\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 3.1, for every $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+$ with $\|g\|_\infty \le 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int T^*(f)g &= \lim_\alpha \int T^*(f)\chi_{F_\alpha} g\\ &\le \sup\left\{\int T^*(f)h = \int fT(h) :\ h \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+ \cap \mathcal{L}^1,\ \|h\|_\infty \le 1\right\} \le \int f,\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\|T^*(f)\|_1 \le \|f\|_1$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+ \cap \mathcal{L}^1$. Therefore T^* is a positive linear $\|\cdot\|_1-$contraction on $\mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$ and, since $\mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^1$, it uniquely extends to a DS^+ operator, which we also denote by T^*.

For the $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous adjoint operator $T^{**} : (\mathcal{L}^\infty)^* \to (\mathcal{L}^\infty)^*$ and all $f,\ g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$, we have

$$\int T^{**}(f)g = \int fT^*(g) = \int T(f)g,$$

hence $T^{**}(f) = T(f)$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$. In the same way as T^*, T^{**} uniquely extends to a DS^+ operator (which we also denote by T^{**}) such that $T^{**}(g) = T(g)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $T^{**}|\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous.

Let $S \in DS^+$ be another operator such that $S(g) = T(g)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $S|\mathcal{L}^\infty$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$continuous. Given $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^1$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\int g\chi_{F_\alpha} f \to \int gf$, that is, $\chi_{F_\alpha} f \to f$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$topology, so $\mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1)-$dense in $\mathcal{L}^\infty$. Therefore $S|\mathcal{L}^\infty = T^{**}|\mathcal{L}^\infty$, which completes the proof. □

We shall recall now the following statement on the existence and properties of linear modulus of a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{L}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^1$ ($T : \mathcal{L}^\infty \to \mathcal{L}^\infty$) (see [13, Ch.4, §4.1, Theorem 1.1]):

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0_h$. Then for any bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{L}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^1$ ($T : \mathcal{L}^\infty \to \mathcal{L}^\infty$) there exists a unique positive bounded linear operator $|T| : \mathcal{L}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^1$ (respectively, $|T| : \mathcal{L}^\infty \to \mathcal{L}^\infty$) such that*

(i) $\|\ |T|\ \| = \|T\|$;
(ii) $|T(f)| \le |T|(|f|)$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ *(respectively, for all* $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$*);*
(iii) $|T|(f) = \sup\{|T(g)| : g \in \mathcal{L}^1, |g| \le f\}$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_+$
(respectively, $|T|(f) = \sup\{|T(g)| : g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty, |g| \le f\}$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_+$*).*

The operator $|T|$ is called the *linear modulus* of T. In addition, $|T|$ satisfies the following properties [13, Ch.4, §4.1, Theorem 1.3, Proposition 1.2 (d),(e)]).

Proposition 3.1. *If $T \in AC$, then*

(i) $|T^k(f)| \le |T|^k(|f|)$ *for every* $f \in \mathcal{L}^1,\ k = 1, 2, \dots$;
(ii) $\|T\|_{1,\infty} := \sup\{\|Tf\|_\infty : f \in \mathcal{L}^1 \cap \mathcal{L}^\infty,\ \|f\|_\infty \le 1\} = \| \ |T| \ \|_{1,\infty}$;
(iii) $|T^*| = |T|^*$ *(in the case direct sum property of* $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$*).*

Here is a proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.3 (i) and Proposition 3.1 (ii), $|T| \in AC^+$. The adjoint operators T^* and $|T|^*$ are contractions in $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ such that $|T^*| = |T|^*$, by Proposition 3.1 (iii). As in proof of Theorem 3.2, $|T|^*$ uniquely extends to a DS^+ operator, which we also denote by $|T|^*$. It follows from

$$\|T^*f\|_1 \le \||T^*|(|f|)\|_1 = \||T|^*(|f|)\|_1 \le \|f\|_1,\ f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1,$$

that T^* is a $\|\cdot\|_1-$contraction in $\mathcal{L}^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}^1$. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, T^* and then T^{**} can be uniquely extended to DS operators.

Repeating the argument at the end of proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the result. □

An important consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that if $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0_h$, then, given $T \in AC$, one can assume, without loss of generality, that $T \in DS$, so that $\|T(f)\|_\infty \le \|f\|_\infty$ for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$.

Remark 3.2. Let E be a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ such that $T(E) \subset E$ if $T \in DS$. In what follows, if a.e. convergence of conventional or weighted ergodic averages holds for $T \in DS$ and every $f \in E_h$, these averages also converge a.e. whenever $f \in E$ and $T \in DS^+$ because then $T(E_h) \subset E_h$. Therefore, we routinely assume that $T \in DS$ if $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0_h$ and $T \in DS^+$ in the general case.

4. Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem in fully symmetric spaces

Dunford-Schwartz theorem on pointwise convergence of the ergodic averages

$$a_n(f) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k(f) \tag{2}$$

for $T \in DS$ acting in the L^p-space, $1 \le p < \infty$, of real valued functions of an arbitrary measure space was established in [8]; see also [9, Theorem VIII.6.6]. Note that, since the set on which the function $T^k(f) \in \mathcal{L}^p$, $1 \le p < \infty$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, does not equal to zero is a countable union of sets of finite measure, one can assume that the measure is $\sigma-$finite.

In this section we prove Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem in $\mathcal{R}_\mu$, Theorem 4.2, for real and complex valued (when $T \in DS^+$) functions, arguably the most general version of the classical result.

In view of Remark 3.2, Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem in $\mathcal{L}^1$ can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* (Ω, μ) *be an arbitrary measure space. Assume that either* $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0_h$ *and* $T \in DS$ *or* $\mathcal{L}^\infty, \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^0$ *and* $T \in DS^+$*. Then the averages (2) converge a.e. for all* $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$*.*

Below is an extension of Theorem 4.1 to the fully symmetric space $\mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space. Assume that either $\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1\subset\mathcal{L}^0_h$ and $T\in DS$ or $\mathcal{L}^\infty,\mathcal{L}^1\subset\mathcal{L}^0$ and $T\in DS^+$. If $f\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$, then the averages (2) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $f\geq 0$. By Proposition 2.2, given $k=1,2,\dots$, there are $0\leq g_k\in\mathcal{L}^1$ and $0\leq h_k\in\mathcal{L}^\infty$ such that

$$f=g_k+h_k \quad\text{and}\quad \|h_k\|_\infty\leq\frac{1}{k}.$$

Since $\{g_k\}\subset\mathcal{L}^1$ it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the averages (2) converge a.e. for each g_k. As $\{a_n(g_k)\}\subset\mathcal{L}^1_h$, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\limsup_n a_n(g_k)(\omega)=\liminf_n a_n(g_k)(\omega)$$

for all $\omega\in\Omega$ and every k. Then, for a fixed k and $\omega\in\Omega$, we have

$$0\leq\Delta(\omega)=\limsup_n a_n(f)(\omega)-\liminf_n a_n(f)(\omega)=$$

$$=\limsup_n a_n(h_k)(\omega)-\liminf_n a_n(h_k)(\omega)\leq 2\sup_n|a_n(h_k)(\omega)|,$$

which together with $\|a_n(h_k)\|_\infty\leq\frac{1}{k}$, $n=1,2,\dots$, implies that there exists $\Omega_k\in\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_k)=0$ such that $0\leq\Delta(\omega)\leq\frac{2}{k}$ whenever $\omega\in\Omega_k$. Then, letting $\Omega_f=\cap_k\Omega_k$, we obtain $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$ and $\Delta(\omega)\leq\frac{2}{k}$ for all $\omega\in\Omega_f$ and every k. Therefore $\Delta(\omega)=0$, hence

$$\limsup_n a_n(f)(\omega)=\liminf_n a_n(f)(\omega),\quad \omega\in\Omega_f,$$

and we conclude that the sequence $\{a_n(f)\}$ converges a.e. to a $\mu-$measurable function $\widehat{f}$ on Ω. Note that, since $\mathcal{L}^0$ is complete in the measure topology, $\widehat{f}$ cannot be infinite on a set of positive measure, hence $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{L}^0$.

Since $\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$ satisfies Fatou property, its unit ball is closed in measure topology t_μ, and (1) implies that $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{L}^1+\mathcal{L}^\infty$.

Since $a_n(f)\to\widehat{f}$ in t_μ, it follows that

$$\mu_t(a_n(f))\to\mu_t(\widehat{f}) \text{ a.e. on } (0,\infty)$$

(see, for example, [12, Ch.II, §2, Property 11°]). Besides, the inclusion $T\in DS$ implies that $\mu_t(a_n(f))\prec\prec\mu_t(f)$ for everyl n (see, for example, [12, Ch.II, §3, Sec.4]). Utilizing Fatou property for $\mathcal{L}^1(0,s)$ and the measure convergence

$$\mu_t(a_n(f))\to\mu_t(\widehat{f}) \text{ on } (0,s),$$

we derive

$$\int_0^s\mu_t(\widehat{f})dt\leq\sup_{n\geq1}\int_0^s\mu_t(a_n(f))dt\leq\int_0^s\mu_t(\widehat{f})dt$$

for all $s>0$, that is, $\mu_t(\widehat{f})\prec\prec\mu_t(f)$. Since $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ is a fully symmetric space and $f\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$, it follows that $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$. □

Now we present a version of Theorem 4.2 for a fully symmetric space $E\subset\mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (Ω,μ) be an infinite measure space, and let E be a fully symmetric space with $\mathbf{1}\notin E$. Assume that either $E\subset\mathcal{L}^0_h$ and $T\in DS$ or $E\subset\mathcal{L}^0$ and $T\in DS^+$. Then for every $f\in E$ the averages (2) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f}\in E$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, $E \subset \mathcal{R}_\mu$. Then, by Theorem 4.2, given $f \in E$, the averages (2) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$. Since E is a fully symmetric space, it follows as in Theorem 4.2 that $\widehat{f} \in E$. □

The next theorem implies that, in the model case $\Omega = (0, \infty)$, if a symmetric space $E \subset \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ is such that $E \setminus \mathcal{R}_\mu \neq \emptyset$, then Dunford-Schwartz pointwise ergodic theorem does nod hold in E.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Omega = (0, \infty)$, and let μ be Lebesgue measure. Then, given $f \in (\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty) \setminus \mathcal{R}_\mu$, there exists $T \in DS$ such that the averages $a_n(\mu_t(f))$ do not converge a.e.*

Proof. Since $f \in (\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty) \setminus \mathcal{R}_\mu$, it follows that $\mu_t(f) \geq \epsilon$ for all $t > 0$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mu_t(f) \geq 1$ for all $t > 0$.

Let $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of integers with $n_0 = 0$ (the choice of this sequence is given below). Consider the function

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\chi_{[n_k, n_{k+1}-1)}(t) - \chi_{[n_{k+1}-1, n_{k+1})}(t) \right)$$

and the operator T in $\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ defined by

$$T(f)(t) = \varphi(t) f(t+1), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty.$$

It is clear that $T \in DS$ and

$$a_n(\mu_t(f)) == \frac{1}{n} \left(\mu_t(f) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi(t)\varphi(t+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi(t+k-1) \mu_{t+k}(f) \right).$$

Show that the averages $a_n(\mu_t(f))$ do not converge a.e. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. If $t \in (\epsilon, 1)$, then

$$a_{n_1}(\mu_t(f)) = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_1-1} \mu_{t+m}(f) \geq 1.$$

Next, since

$$a_{n_2}(\mu_t(f)) = \frac{1}{n_2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n_1-1} \mu_{t+m}(f) - \sum_{m=n_1}^{n_2-1} \mu_{t+m}(f) \right) \leq \frac{1}{n_2} \left(n_1 \mu_\epsilon(f) - (n_2 - n_1) \right),$$

one can choose big enough n_2 so that $a_{n_2}(\mu_t(f)) < -\frac{1}{2}$ for every $t \in (\epsilon, 1)$. As

$$a_{n_3}(\mu_t(f)) = \frac{1}{n_3} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n_1} \mu_{t+m}(f) - \sum_{m=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \mu_{t+m}(f) + \sum_{m=n_2+1}^{n_3-1} \mu_{t+m}(f) \right),$$

there exists n_3 such that $a_{n_3}(\mu_t(f)) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $t \in (\epsilon, 1)$.

Continuing this process, we construct a sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < ...$ such that for every $t \in (\epsilon, 1)$,

$$a_{n_{2k-1}}(\mu_t(f)) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n_{2k}}(\mu_t(f)) < -\frac{1}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Thus, the averages $a_n(\mu_t(f))$ diverge for each $t \in (\epsilon, 1)$. □

5. Weigthed ergodic theorem for Dunford-Schwartz operators in fully symmetric spaces

Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space. Given $T\in DS$, a bounded sequence $\overline{\beta}=\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is called a *good weight* for T if the sequence of weigthed ergodic averages

$$a_n(\overline{\beta},f)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\beta_k T^k(f) \tag{3}$$

converges $\mu-$a.e. for every $f\in\mathcal{L}^1$ (see, for example, [6]).

In [3, 4, 6, 16], various classes of good weights for $T\in DS$ in L^p-spaces of real valued functions on finite and infinite measure spaces were studied. In particular, it follows from a general measure space extension of [3, Theorem 2.19] given in [6] that bounded Besicovitch sequences are good weights for any L^1-contraction with mean ergodic modulus, in particular, for Dunford-Schwartz operators, in an arbitrary measure space. The convergence also holds when the functions are not assumed to be real valued but $T\in DS^+$; see Theorem 5.1 below.

Let $\mathbb{C}_1$ be the unit circle in the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, and let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. A function $P:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a *trigonometric polynomial* if $P(k)=\sum_{j=1}^{s}z_j\lambda_j^k$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, for some $s\in\mathbb{N}$, $\{z_j\}_1^s\subset\mathbb{C}$, and $\{\lambda_j\}_1^s\subset\mathbb{C}_1$. A sequence $\{\beta_k\}\subset\mathbb{C}$ is called a *bounded Besicovitch sequence* if

(i) $|\beta_k|\le C<\infty$ for all k;

(ii) for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial P such that

$$\limsup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|\beta_k-P(k)|<\epsilon. \tag{4}$$

From what was just noticed and taking into account Remark 3.2 we have the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that either $T\in DS$ and $\mathcal{L}^1\subset\mathcal{L}^0_h$ or $T\in DS^+$ in $\mathcal{L}^1\subset\mathcal{L}^0$. Then any bounded Besicovitch sequence $\overline{\beta}=\{\beta_k\}$ is a good weight for T.*

Now we will show that if $\overline{\beta}$ is a good weight for $T\in DS$, then the averages (3) converge a.e. in any fully symmetric space E with $\mathbf{1}\notin E$.

It should be pointed out that the boundedness of a sequence $\overline{\beta}$ implies that

$$a_n(\overline{\beta},f)(E)\subset E\quad\text{and}\quad\|a_n(\overline{\beta},f)\|_{E\to E}\le\sup_{k\ge0}|\beta_k|<\infty. \tag{5}$$

for every fully symmetric space E and $T\in DS$.

As before, we begin with the fully symmetric space $\mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space. Let $\overline{\beta}=\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a good weight for $T\in DS$. If $f\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$, then the averages (3) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{R}_\mu$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that (Ω,μ) is $\sigma-$finite and $f\ge0$. By Proposition 2.2, given $k=1,2,\dots$, there are $g_k\in\mathcal{L}^1$ and $h_k\in\mathcal{L}^\infty$ such that

$$f=g_k+h_k\ \text{ and }\ \|h_k\|_\infty\le\frac{1}{k}.$$

Since $\{g_k\} \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ and $\beta = \{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a good weight for T the averages (3) converge a.e. for all g_k. Thus we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\limsup_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, g_k)(\omega) = \liminf_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, g_k)(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and every k. Then, for a fixed k and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$0 \le \Delta(\omega) = \limsup_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)(\omega) - \liminf_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)(\omega) =$$

$$= \limsup_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, h_k)(\omega) - \liminf_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, h_k)(\omega) \le 2 \sup_n |a_n(\overline{\beta}, h_k)(\omega)|,$$

which together with $\|a_n(\overline{\beta}, h_k)\|_\infty \le \frac{1}{k}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, implies that there exists $\Omega_k \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k) = 0$ such that $0 \le \Delta(\omega) \le \frac{2}{k}$ whenever $\omega \in \Omega_k$. Then, letting $\Omega_f = \cap_k \Omega_k$, we obtain $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ and $\Delta(\omega) \le \frac{2}{k}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and every k. Therefore $\Delta(\omega) = 0$, hence

$$\limsup_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)(\omega) = \liminf_n a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega_f,$$

and we conclude that the sequence $\{a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)\}$ converges a.e. to a $\mu-$measurable function $\widehat{f}$ on Ω. Note that, since $\mathcal{L}^0$ is complete in the measure topology t_μ, the function $\widehat{f}$ cannot be infinite on a set of positive measure, that is, $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^0$.

Since $\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$ satisfies Fatou property, its unit ball is closed in measure, so (5) implies that $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^\infty$.

As $a_n(\overline{\beta}, f) \to \widehat{f}$ in t_μ, it follows that

$$\mu_t(a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)) \to \mu_t(\widehat{f}) \text{ a.e. on } (0, \infty)$$

(see, for example, [12, Ch.II, §2, Property 11°]).

Setting $M(\overline{\beta}) = \max\{1, \sup_{k\ge1} |\beta_k|\}$, we have $\frac{1}{M(\overline{\beta})}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k T^k) \in DS$, hence $\mu_t(\frac{1}{M(\overline{\beta})} a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)) \prec\prec \mu_t(f)$ (see, for example, [12, Ch.II, §3, Section 4]). Using Fatou property for $\mathcal{L}^1(0, s)$ and the measure convergence

$$\mu_t\left(\frac{1}{M(\overline{\beta})} a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)\right) \to \mu_t\left(\frac{\widehat{f}}{M(\overline{\beta})}\right)$$

on $(0, s)$, we derive

$$\int_0^s \mu_t\left(\frac{\widehat{f}}{M(\overline{\beta})}\right) dt \le \sup_{n\ge1} \int_0^s \mu_t\left(\frac{1}{M(\overline{\beta})} a_n(\overline{\beta}, f)\right) dt \le \int_0^s \mu_t\left(\frac{f}{M(\overline{\beta})}\right) dt$$

for all $s > 0$, that is, $\mu_t(\widehat{f}) \prec\prec \mu_t(f)$. Since $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ is a fully symmetric space and $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$, it follows that $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$. □

Since, by Proposition 2.1, $E \subset \mathcal{R}_\mu$ for every symmetric space E with $\mathbf{1} \notin E$, utilizing Theorem 5.2 and repeating the ending of its proof, we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (Ω, μ) be an infinite measure space, and let T and $\overline{\beta}$ be as in Theorem 5.2. Assume that E is a fully symmetric space with $\mathbf{1} \notin E$. If $f \in E$, then the averages (3) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f} \in E$.*

In view of Theorems 5.3 and 5.1, we now have the following.

Corollary 5.1. *Let (Ω,μ) be an infinite measure space, and let E be a fully symmetric space with $\mathbf{1}\notin E$. Assume that either $E\subset\mathcal{L}^0_h$ and $T\in DS$ or $E\subset\mathcal{L}^0$ and $T\in DS^+$. Then for every $f\in E$ and a bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{\beta_k\}$ the averages (3) converge a.e. to some $\widehat{f}\in E$.*

6. Wiener-Wintner-type ergpdic theorems in fully symmetric spaces

Assume that (Y,ν) is a finite measure space and $\phi: Y\to Y$ a measure preserving transformation (m.p.t.). If (Ω,μ) is a measure space, $\tau:\Omega\to\Omega$ a m.p.t., $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$, and $g\in L^1(Y)$, denote

$$a_n(f,g)(\omega,y)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(\tau^k\omega)g(\phi^k y) \tag{6}$$

Here is an extension of Bourgain's Return Times theorem to $\sigma-$finite measure [1, p.101].

Theorem 6.1. *Let (Ω,μ) be a $\sigma-$finite measure space, $\tau:\Omega\to\Omega$ be a m.p.t., and let $A\subset\Omega$, $\mu(A)<\infty$. Then there exists a set $\Omega_A\subset\Omega$ such that $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_A)=0$ and for any (Y,ν,ϕ) and $g\in\mathcal{L}^1(Y)$ the averages*

$$a_n(\chi_A,g)(\omega,y)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\chi_A(\tau^k\omega)g(\phi^k y)$$

converge $\nu-$a.e. for all $\omega\in\Omega_A$.

The next theorem is a version of Theorem 6.1 where the functions χ_A and $g\in\mathcal{L}^1(Y)$ are replaced by $f\in\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$ and $g\in\mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$, respectively.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space, $\tau:\Omega\to\Omega$ a m.p.t., and let $f\in\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists a set $\Omega_f\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$ such that for any (Y,ν,ϕ) and $g\in\mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ the averages (6) converge $\nu-$a.e. for all $\omega\in\Omega_f$.*

Proof. Assume first that μ is $\sigma-$finite. Fix $f\in\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$. Then there exist $\{\lambda_{m,i}\}\subset\mathbb{C}$ and $A_{m,i}\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(A_{m,i})<\infty$, $m=1,2,\dots$, $1\le i\le l_m$, such that

$$\|f-f_m\|_1\to 0,\quad\text{where}\quad f_m=\sum_{i=1}^{l_m}\lambda_{m,i}\chi_{A_{m,i}}.$$

If

$$\Omega_{m,j}=\left\{\omega\in\Omega:\sup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|f-f_m|(\tau^k(\omega))>\frac{1}{j}\right\},$$

then, due to the maximal ergodic inequality, we have

$$\mu(\Omega_{m,j})\le j\|f-f_m\|_1,$$

which implies that $\mu(\cap_m\Omega_{m,j})=0$ for a fixed j. Therefore, denoting

$$\Omega_0=\Omega\setminus\bigcup_j\bigcap_m\Omega_{m,j},$$

we obtain $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_0)=0$.

If $\omega\in\Omega_0$, then $\omega\notin\Omega_{m_j,j}$ for every j and some m_j, and therefore

$$\sup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|f-f_{m_j}|(\tau^k(\omega))\le\frac{1}{j}\quad\text{for all}\quad j\quad\text{and}\quad\omega\in\Omega_0. \tag{7}$$

Now, by Theorem 6.1, there exist $\Omega_{j,i} \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{j,i}) = 0$ such that for every (Y, ν, ϕ) and $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ the averages

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_{A_{m_j,i}}(\tau^k(\omega)) g(\phi^k y)$$

converge $\nu-$a.e. for all $\omega \in \Omega_{j,i}$. Then, letting

$$\Omega_f = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=1}^{l_{m_j}} \Omega_{j,i} \bigcap \Omega_0,$$

we obtain $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$.

If we pick any (Y, ν, ϕ) and $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$, then the averages $a_n(f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y)$ converge $\nu-$a.e. for every j and all $\omega \in \Omega_f$, and it follows that there are $Y_0 \subset Y$ with $\nu(Y \setminus Y_0) = 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $|g(\phi^k y)| \le C$ for all k and $y \in Y_0$ and

$$\liminf_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y) = \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y),$$

$$\liminf_n \operatorname{Im} a_n(f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y) = \limsup_n \operatorname{Im} a_n(f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y),$$

for all $y \in Y_0$, k, and $\omega \in \Omega_f$.

Let $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and $y \in Y_0$. Given k, taking into account (7), we have

$$\Delta(\omega, y) = \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f, g)(\omega, y) - \liminf_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f, g)(\omega, y) =$$

$$\limsup_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f - f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y) - \liminf_n \operatorname{Re} a_n(f - f_{m_j}, g)(\omega, y) \le$$

$$\le 2 \sup_n a_n(|f - f_{m_j}|, |g|)(\omega, y) \le 2C \sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f - f_{m_j}|(\tau^k(\omega)) \le \frac{2C}{j}.$$

Therefore $\Delta(\omega, y) = 0$. Similarly,

$$\limsup_n \operatorname{Im} a_n(f, g)(\omega, y) = \liminf_n \operatorname{Im} a_n(f, g)(\omega, y),$$

and we conclude that the averages (6) converge $\nu-$a.e. and all $\omega \in \Omega_f$.

If μ is an arbitrary measure, we observe that, since $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$, the restriction of μ on the set $\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\tau^k(\omega)) \neq 0\}$ is $\sigma-$finite for each k, which reduces the argument to the case of $\sigma-$finite measure space (Ω, μ). □

Now we extend Theorem 6.2 to $\mathcal{R}_\mu = \mathcal{R}_\mu(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be a m.p.t., and let $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$. Then there exists a set $\Omega_f \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ such that for any finite measure space (Y, ν), any measure preserving transformation $\phi : Y \to Y$, and any $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ the averages (6) converge $\nu-$a.e. for all $\omega \in \Omega_f$.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 2.2, given a natural m, there exists $f_m \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$ and $h_m \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $f = f_m + h_m$ and $\|h_m\|_\infty \le \frac{1}{m}$. Then there is $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$ and $h_m(\omega) \le \frac{1}{m}$ for all m and $\omega \in \Omega_0$.

By Theorem 6.2, as $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$, for every m there is a set $\Omega_m \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_m) = 0$ such that for every (Y, ν, ϕ) and $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(Y)$ the averages

$$a_n(f_m, g)(\omega, y) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_m(\tau^k(\omega)) g(\phi^k(y)) \tag{8}$$

converge $\nu-$a.e. for all $\omega \in \Omega_m$. Therefore, if $\Omega_f = \cap_{m=0}^{\infty}\Omega_m$, then $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$, $h_m(\omega) \le \frac{1}{m}$ for all m and $\omega \in \Omega_f$, and for every (Y, ν, ϕ) and $g \in L^1(Y)$, the averages (8) converge $\nu-$a.e. for all m and $\omega \in \Omega_f$.

Fix $\omega \in \Omega_f$, (Y, ν, ϕ), $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(Y, \nu)$ and show that the averages (6) converge $\nu-$a.e. As the averages (8) converge $\nu-$a.e. for each m, there is a set $Y_1 \subset Y$ with $\nu(Y \setminus Y_1) = 0$ such that the sequence (8) converges for every m and $y \in Y_1$. Also, since the averages

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g|(\phi^k(y))$$

converge $\nu-$a.e., there is a set $Y_2 \subset Y$ such that $\nu(Y \setminus Y_2) = 0$ and the sequence $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g|(\phi^k(y))$ converges for all $y \in Y_2$. Then, letting $Y_0 = Y_1 \cap Y_2$, we conclude that $\nu(Y \setminus Y_0) = 0$, $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g|(\phi^k y) < \infty$, and the sequence (8) converges for all m and $y \in Y_0$.

Now, if $y \in Y_0$, we have

$$\liminf_n a_n(f_m, g)(\omega, y) = \limsup_n a_n(f_m, g)(\omega, y),$$

which implies that, for every m,

$$\Delta(\omega) = \limsup_n a_n(f, g)(\omega, y) - \liminf_n a_n(f, g)(\omega, y) =$$

$$= \limsup_n a_n(h_m, g)(\omega, y) - \liminf_n a_n(h_m, g)(\omega, y) \le$$

$$\le 2\sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |h_m(\tau^k(\omega))| \cdot |g(\phi^k(y))| \le \frac{2}{m}\sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g|(\phi^k(y)).$$

Therefore $\Delta(\omega) = 0$ for every $y \in Y_0$, that is, the averages (6) converge $\nu-$a.e. $\square$

Letting in Theorem 6.3 $Y = \mathbb{C}_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{C} : |y| = 1\}$ with Lebesgue measure ν, $\phi_\lambda(y) = \lambda y$, $y \in Y$, for a given $\lambda \in Y$, and $g(y) = y$ whenever $y \in Y$, we obtain Wiener-Wintner theorem for $\mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be a m.p.t. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$, then there is a set $\Omega_f \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ such that the sequence*

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k f(\tau^k(\omega))$$

converges for all $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_1$.

Let $P(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} z_j \lambda_j^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be a trigonometric polynomial (see Section 5). Then, by linearity, Theorem 6.4 implies the following.

Corollary 6.1. *If (Ω, μ) is a measure space, $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ is a m.p.t. and $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$, then there is a set $\Omega_f \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ such that the sequence*

$$a_n(\{P(k)\}, f)(\omega) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P(k) f(\tau^k(\omega))$$

converges for every $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and any trigonometric polynomial $\{P(k)\}$.

We will need the following.

Proposition 6.1. *If (Ω,μ) is a measure space, $\tau:\Omega\to\Omega$ is a m.p.t. and $f\in\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$, then there exists a set $\Omega_f\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$ such that the sequence $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_kf(\tau^k(\omega))$ converges for every $\omega\in\Omega_f$ and any bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.1, there exists a set $\Omega_{f,1}\subset\Omega$, $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_{f,1})=0$, such that the sequence $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}P(k)f(\tau^k\omega)$ converges for every $\omega\in\Omega_{f,1}$ and any trigonometric polynomial $\{P(k)\}$. Also, since $f\in\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$, there is a set $\Omega_{f,2}\subset\Omega$, $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_{f,2})=0$, such that $|f(\tau^k\omega)|\le\|f\|_\infty$ for every k and $\omega\in\Omega_{f,2}$. If we set $\Omega_f=\Omega_{f,1}\cap\Omega_{f,2}$, then $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$.

Now, let $\omega\in\Omega_f$, and let $\{b_k\}$ be a Besicovitch sequence. Fix $\epsilon>0$, and choose a trigonometric polynomial $P(k)$ to satisfy condition (4). Then we have

$$\Delta(\omega)=\limsup_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f)(\omega)-\liminf_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f)(\omega)=$$

$$=\limsup_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k-P(k)\},f)(\omega)-\liminf_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k-P(k)\},f)(\omega)\le$$

$$\le 2\|f\|_\infty\sup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|b_k-P(k)|<2\|f\|_\infty\epsilon$$

for all sufficiently large n. Therefore $\Delta(\omega)=0$, and we conclude that the sequence $\operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_kf(\tau^k\omega)$ converges. Similarly, we obtain convergence of the sequence $\operatorname{Im}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_kf(\tau^k\omega)$, which completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space. If $f\in\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$, then there exists a set $\Omega_f\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$, such that the sequence*

$$a_n(\{b_k\},f)(\omega)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_kf(\tau^k\omega) \tag{9}$$

converges for every $\omega\in\Omega_f$ and any bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$.

Proof. Let a sequence $\{f_m\}\subset\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $\|f-f_m\|_1\to0$. As in proof of Theorem 6.2, we construct a subsequence $\{f_{m_j}\}$ and a set $\Omega_0\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_0)=0$ such that

$$\sup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|f-f_{m_j}|(\tau^k\omega)\le\frac{1}{j}\quad\text{for all}\quad j\quad\text{and}\quad\omega\in\Omega_0.$$

By Proposition 6.1, given j, there is $\Omega_j\subset\Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_j)=0$ such that the sequence $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_kf_{m_j}(\tau^k\omega)$ converges for every $\omega\in\Omega_j$ and any Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$.

If we set $\Omega_f=\cap_{j=1}^\infty\Omega_j\cap\Omega_0$, then $\mu(\Omega\setminus\Omega_f)=0$, and for any $\omega\in\Omega_f$ and any bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$ such that $\sup_k|b_k|\le C$ we have

$$\Delta(\omega)=\limsup_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f)(\omega)-\liminf_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f)(\omega)=$$

$$=\limsup_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f-f_{m_j})(\omega)-\liminf_n\operatorname{Re}a_n(\{b_k\},f-f_{m_j})(\omega)\le$$

$$\le 2\sup_n\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|b_k|\cdot|f-f_{m_j}|(\tau^k\omega)\le\frac{2C}{j}.$$

Therefore $\Delta(\omega) = 0$, hence the sequence $\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k f(\tau^k\omega)$ converges. Similarly, we derive convergence of the sequence $\mathrm{Im}\,\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k f(\tau^k\omega)$, and the proof is complete. □

Taking into account that the sequence $\{b_k\}$ is bounded, we obtain, as in the proof of Theorem 6.3, the following extension of Wiener-Wintner theorem.

Theorem 6.6. *Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, and let $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be a m.p.t. Given $f \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$, then there exists a set $\Omega_f \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ such that the sequence (9) converges for every $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and every bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$.*

Finally, in view of Proposition 2.1, we have the following.

Theorem 6.7. *Let (Ω, μ) be an infinite measure space, and let $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be a m.p.t. Assume that $E = E(\Omega)$ is a fully symmetric space such that $\mathbf{1} \notin E$. Then for every $f \in E$ there exists a set $\Omega_f \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_f) = 0$ such that the sequence (9) converges for every $\omega \in \Omega_f$ and every bounded Besicovitch sequence $\{b_k\}$.*

References

[1] I. Assani, The return times of sigma finite measure spaces, *Unpublished preprint* (1999).
[2] I. Assani, **Wiener Wintner Ergodic Theorems**, World Scientific (2003).
[3] J. R. Baxter, J. Olsen, Weighted and subsequential ergodic theorems, *Canadian J. Math.*, **35**(1983), 145-166.
[4] A. Bellow and V. Losert, The weighted pointwise ergodic theorem and the individual ergodic theorem along subsequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **288**(1985), 307-345.
[5] C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, **Interpolation of Operators**, Academic Press Inc. (1988).
[6] D. Çömez, M. Lin, and J. Olsen, Weighted ergodic for mean ergodic L_1-contractions, *TAMS*, **350**(1) (1998), 101-117.
[7] N. Dinculeanu, **Vector Measures**: International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **95** (2014).
[8] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Convergence almost everywhere of operator averages, *J. Rational Mach. Anal.,* **5** *(1956), 129-178.*
[9] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, **Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory**, John Willey and Sons (1988).
[10] A. Garsia, **Topics in Almost Everywhere Convergence**, Markham Publishing Company, Chicago (1970).
[11] L. V. Kantorovich, G. P. Akilov, **Functional analysis**, *2nd ed. Pergamon Press, Oxford, XIV, 589 pp.1982*, (English transl. from Russian).
[12] S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, **Interpolation of Linear Operators**, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc.*, **54**, 1982.
[13] U. Krengel, **Ergodic Theorems**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York (1985).
[14] A. G. Kusraev, **Dominated Operators**, Springer Science & Business Media (2013).
[15] Peter Meyer-Nieber, **Banach Lattices**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg (1991).
[16] M. Lin, J. Olsen, and A. Tempelman, On modular ergodic theorems for Dunford-Schwartz operators, *Illinois J. Math.*, **43** (3) (1999), 542-567.
[17] J. Lindenstraus, L. Tsafriri, **Classical Banach spaces I-II**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1996).

The National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: vladimirchil@gmail.com; chilin@ucd.uz

North Dakota State University, P.O.Box 6050, Fargo, ND, 58108, USA
E-mail address: dogan.comez@ndsu.edu

Pennsylvania State University, 76 University Drive, Hazleton, PA 18202, USA
E-mail address: snl2@psu.edu